

Fig. 1




Fig. 2 a




Fig. 2 b




Fig. 2 c




Fig. 2 d




Fig. 2 e











FIG. 10






Fig. 12a.




Fig. 125




Fig. 13








Fig. 14






Fig. 18e




Fig. 18b







Fig. 19






FIG. 23a




FIG. 23b




FIG. 24




FIG. 25a




FIG. 25b






Fig. 26




Fig. 27




Fig. 28

Figure 1. The effect of the number of iterations on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The accuracy of the proposed algorithm is plotted against the number of iterations. The accuracy increases rapidly in the first 10 iterations and then levels off. The accuracy is approximately 0.95 after 10 iterations and remains stable thereafter.




Fig. 29




Fig. 30(a)




Fig. 30(b)




Fig. 31(a)




Fig. 31(6)